APR **04** 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ARAGON CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC, et al.,

Defendants and Relief Defendants.:

USDC SDNY			
DOCUMENT			
ELECTRONICA	ALLY	FIL	ED
DOC #:			
DATE FILED:	4/	5/1	2

07 Civ. 919 (FM)

ORIGINAL

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO ALL CLAIMS AGAINST
DEFENDANTS ZVI ROSENTHAL,
AMIR ROSENTHAL, AYAL ROSENTHAL AND OREN ROSENTHAL,
AND RELIEF DEFENDANTS RIVKA ROSENTHAL,
EFRAT ROSENTHAL AND NOGA DELSHAD ROSENTHAL

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Complaint, and Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal, Ayal Rosenthal and Oren Rosenthal (collectively "Defendants"), and Relief Defendants Rivka Rosenthal, Efrat Rosenthal, and Noga Delshad Rosenthal (collectively "Relief Defendants"), having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendants and Relief Defendants and the subject matter of this action; and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment; waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendants Zvi
Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal and their respective agents, servants, employees,
attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice
of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from

violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal, and Ayal Rosenthal and each of their respective agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements

made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or

(c) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], Defendant Zvi Rosenthal is prohibited from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)].

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- (a) Defendants and Relief Defendants are liable for disgorgement, prejudgment interest, and civil penalties as follows:
 - (1) Defendants and Relief Defendants are liable, jointly and severally, for disgorgement and prejudgment interest of \$2,204,884.71, less \$1,523,495.99 already paid by Defendants and Relief Defendants, for an amount of \$681,388.72, representing profits gained and losses avoided as a result of the conduct alleged in the complaint;
 - (2) Defendant Zvi Rosenthal is liable for a civil penalty in the amount of \$232,873.28 pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1(a)(2)]; and
 - (3) Defendant Amir Rosenthal is liable for a civil penalty in the amount of \$157,738 pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1(a)(2)];
 - (b) Defendants and Relief Defendants shall satisfy the foregoing obligations by

Hay

paying \$1,072,000.00 within 14 days after entry of this Final Judgment by certified check, bank cashier's check, or United States postal money order payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The payment shall be delivered or mailed to the Office of Financial Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Stop 6042, Washington DC 20549, and shall be accompanied by a letter identifying Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal, Ayal Rosenthal and Oren Rosenthal as Defendants in this action and Rivka Rosenthal, Efrat Rosenthal and Noga Delshad Rosenthal as Relief Defendants in this action; setting forth the title and civil action number of this action and the name of this Court; and specifying that payment is made pursuant to this Final Judgment. Defendants and Relief Defendants shall pay post-judgment interest on any delinquent amounts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961. The Commission shall remit the funds paid pursuant to this paragraph to the United States Treasury.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendants' and Relief Defendants' Consents are incorporated in this Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth in this Final Judgment, and that the Defendants shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements applicable to them, as set forth in their respective Consents.

.VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

Dated: April 4 ,2012

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APR 04 2012

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	x	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
-against-	:	07 Civ. 919 (FM)
ARAGON CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC, et al.,	:	
Defendants and Relief Defendan	: its. ; y	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A	

CONSENT OF DEFENDANTS ZVI ROSENTHAL, AMIR ROSENTHAL, AYAL ROSENTHAL AND OREN ROSENTHAL, AND RELIEF DEFENDANTS RIVKA ROSENTHAL, EFRAT ROSENTHAL AND NOGA DELSHAD ROSENTHAL

- 1. Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal, Ayal Rosenthal and Oren Rosenthal (collectively "Defendants") and Relief Defendants Rivka Rosenthal, Efrat Rosenthal and Noga Delshad Rosenthal (collectively "Relief Defendants") acknowledge having been served with the summons and complaint in this action, enter a general appearance, and consents to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendants and Relief Defendants and over the subject matter of this action.
- 2. Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal have each pleaded guilty to criminal conduct relating to certain conduct alleged in the First Amended Complaint in this action. Specifically, in <u>United States v. Zvi Rosenthal</u>, et al., No. 07 Cr. 069 (JG) (E.D.N.Y.), Zvi Rosenthal and Amir Rosenthal each pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit securities fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371. In <u>United States v. Ayal Rosenthal</u>, No. 07 Cr. 098 (JG) (E.D.N.Y.), Defendant Ayal Rosenthal pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit securities fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371. In connection with those pleas, Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal admitted the

facts set out in the transcripts of each of their plea allocutions that are attached as Exhibit A to this Consent. This Consent shall remain in full force and effect regardless of the existence or outcome of any further proceedings in either <u>United States v. Zvi Rosenthal</u>, et al. and <u>United States v. Aval Rosenthal</u>.

- 3. On the basis of the guilty pleas entered by Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal recited above, on January 29, 2010, the Court entered a Judgment on the Commission's motion for Partial Summary Judgment against Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal, Oren Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal, and Relief Defendants Rivka Rosenthal and Efrat Rosenthal, resolving certain allegations in the First Amended Complaint as specified in the Court's Memorandum Decision and Order of November 24, 2009, SEC v. Aragon Capital Mgmt. LLC, 672 F. Supp. 2d 421 (S.D.N.Y. 2009), aff'd in part, 426 F. App'x 1 (2d Cir. 2011) and rev'd in part, 650 F.3d 156 (2d Cir. 2011) (the "Partial Summary Judgment Decision"), permanently enjoining Defendants Zvi Rosenthal and Amir Rosenthal from further violations of the anti-fraud provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933, permanently enjoining Defendant Zvi Rosenthal from acting as an officer or director of any public company, and awarding the Commission certain monetary relief in disgorgement, prejudgment interest and penalties.
- 4. Without admitting or denying the allegations of the Second Amended Complaint (except as to personal and subject matter jurisdiction, which Defendants and Relief Defendants admit), which alleges conduct not resolved in the Partial Summary Judgment Decision,

 Defendants and Relief Defendants hereby consent to the entry of the final Judgment in the form attached hereto (the "Final Judgment") and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:

- (a) permanently restrains and enjoins Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal from violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; and Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)];
- (b) pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], prohibits Defendant Zvi Rosenthal from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act[15 U.S.C. § 781] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)];
- orders Defendants and Relief Defendants to pay disgorgement and prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$2,204,884.71, less \$1,523,495.99 already paid by Defendants and Relief Defendants, for an amount of \$681,388.72;
- (d) orders Defendant Zvi Rosenthal to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$232,873.28 pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1(a)(2)]; and
- (e) orders Defendant Amir Rosenthal to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$157,738 pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1(a)(2)].
- 5. Defendants Zvi Rosenthal and Amir Rosenthal each agrees that he shall not seek or accept, directly or indirectly, reimbursement or indemnification from any source, including

but not limited to payment made pursuant to any insurance policy, with regard to any civil penalty amounts that Defendants Zvi Rosenthal and Amir Rosenthal pay pursuant to the Final Judgment, regardless of whether such penalty amounts or any part thereof are added to a distribution fund or otherwise used for the benefit of investors. Defendants Zvi Rosenthal and Amir Rosenthal each further agrees that he shall not claim, assert, or apply for a tax deduction or tax credit with regard to any federal, state, or local tax for any penalty amounts that Defendant Zvi Rosenthal or Amir Rosenthal pays pursuant to the Final Judgment, regardless of whether such penalty amounts or any part thereof are added to a distribution fund or otherwise used for the benefit of investors.

- 6. Defendants and Relief Defendants waive the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. Defendants and Relief Defendants waive the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Final Judgment.
- 8. Defendants and Relief Defendants enter into this Consent voluntarily and each of them represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce any Defendant or Relief Defendant to enter into this Consent.
- 9. Defendants and Relief Defendants agree that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.
- 10. Defendants and Relief Defendants will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and each of them hereby waives any objection based thereon.

- 11. Defendants and Relief Defendants waive service of the Final Judgment and each of them agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendants and Relief Defendants of its terms and conditions. Defendants and Relief Defendants further agree to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Final Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that each of them has received and read a copy of the Final Judgment.
- 12. Consistent with 17 C.F.R. § 202.5(f), this Consent resolves only the claims asserted against Defendants and Relief Defendants in this civil proceeding. Defendants and Relief Defendants acknowledge that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendants and Relief Defendants waive any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendants and Relief Defendants further acknowledge that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal each

understands that he shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

- Defendants and Relief Defendants understand and agree to comply with the 13. Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegations in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy, Defendants Zvi Rosenthal, Amir Rosenthal and Ayal Rosenthal acknowledge their respective guilty pleas for related criminal conduct described in paragraph 2 above and Defendants and Relief Defendants agree: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, Defendants and Relief Defendants hereby withdraw any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the Second Amended Complaint. If Defendants or Relief Defendants breach this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendants' and Relief Defendants': (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.
- 14. Defendants and Relief Defendants hereby waive any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendants and Relief Defendants to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendants and Relief Defendants each agrees that none

of them is the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.

- 15. Defendants and Relief Defendants agree that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.
- 16. Defendants and Relief Defendants agree that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.

Dated: 3/2012	Zvi Rosenthal
	Eknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.
	Notara Publica Publica
	Notary Public Commission expires: AGNES KOLDEN NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEW JERSEY BAY COMMISSION EXPIRES JUNE 18, 2013
Dated:	Amir Rosenthal
On, 2012, _ personally appeared before me and ac	, a person known to me, cknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.
,	Notary Public Commission expires:

of them is the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.

- 15. Defendants and Relief Defendants agree that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.
- 16. Defendants and Relief Defendants agree that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.

Dated:			
		Zvi Rosenthal	
On	, 2012,	, a	person known to me,
rsonally appeared befo	re me and ackr	, a nowledged executing the foreg	going Consent.
			,
		Notary Public Commission expires:	
		Commission expires.	
		/	
al 1		4	
ated: 3/21/12			
		Amir Rosenthal	1
On alst	, 2012, <i>A</i>	Mir Rosenthal	person known to me,
	re me and ackr	nowledged executing the foreg	
		A	
		Lawale .	WHELLA MA
	-	Notary Public	NOTAR
		Commission expires:	NO. 01MA60578.
			QUEENS
		ř	17 1833-2015
			OF NEW
			2011
			(3/21/20

State of Israel
Municipality of Tel Aviv-Yes
Embassy of the
United States of America

Dated: 3/2/2012	Ayal Rosenthal
On <u>March</u> 26, 2012, <u>Ayr</u> personally appeared before me and acknow	I Rosenthal, a person known to me, identification ledged executing the foregoing Consent.
Embassy of the United States of Ame Consular Section - ACS/PPT 71 Hayarkon Street Tel Aviv, Israel 63903	Notary Public Commission expires:
Dated:	Oren Rosenthal
On, 2012, personally appeared before me and acknow	, a person known to me, ledged executing the foregoing Consent.
	Notary Public Commission expires:
Approved as to form:	
Robert N. Knuts Park & Jensen LLP 630 Third Avenue	

New York, NY 10017

Attorney for Defendant Oren Rosenthal

Dated:		
		Ayal Rosenthal
Onpersonally appeared before	_, 2012, me and acknowledge	, a person known to me ed executing the foregoing Consent.
		otary Public ommission expires:
Dated: 3/20/12		Oren Rosenthal
On <u>March</u> 20 personally appeared before	_, 2012, me and acknowledge	, a person known to me ed executing the foregoing Consent.
	No	attachea Certificate of chrowledgment Public ommission expires:
Approved as to form: Robert N. Knuts		

Park & Jensen LLP 630 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10017 Attorney for Defendant Oren Rosenthal

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE CERTIFICATE OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT

County of Low augeles	
On <u>March 20, 2012</u> before me, <u>Lest</u> personally appeared <u>Oren Rosenth</u>	Lie C. Toledo (here insert name and title of the officer)
the within instrument and acknowledged to me tha	ence to be the person(s) whose name(s) (s) are subscribed to the she/they executed the same in his her/their authorized on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and official seal. Leslie C. Jaledo Signature of Notary Public	LESLIE C. TOLEDO Commission # 1859421 Notary Public - California Los Angeles County My Comm. Expires Aug 26, 2013 (Scal)
ADDITIONAL OP	TIONAL INFORMATION
DESCRIPTION OF THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT Consent of Defendants, etc. Jewistigs are Brehave Communion V. Ausgin Contal advisor, u.e., etcl. (07Civ. 919 (cm)) (Title or description of attached document continued)	INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM Any acknowledgment completed in California must contain verbiage exactly as appears above in the notary section or a separate acknowledgment form must be properly completed and attached to that document. The only exception is if a document is to be recorded outside of California. In such instances, any alternative acknowledgment verbiage as may be printed on such a document so long as the verbiage does not require the notary to do something that is illegal for a notary in California (i.e. certifying the authorized capacity of the signer). Please check the document carefully for proper notarial wording and attach this form if required.
Number of Pages 10 Document Date 3/20/12 Notaizstion done on pase #8 of the document (Additional information)	 State and County information must be the State and County where the document signer(s) personally appeared before the notary public for acknowledgment. Date of notarization must be the date that the signer(s) personally appeared which must also be the same date the acknowledgment is completed. The notary public must print his or her name as it appears within his or her commission followed by a comma and then your title (notary public). Print the name(s) of document signer(s) who personally appear at the time of notarization.
CAPACITY CLAIMED BY THE SIGNER Individual (s) Corporate Officer (Title)	 Indicate the correct singular or plural forms by crossing off incorrect forms (i.e. he/shc/hhey. is /are) or circling the correct forms. Failure to correctly indicate this information may lead to rejection of document recording. The notary scal impression must be clear and photographically reproducible. Impression must not cover text or lines. If scal impression smudges, re-scal if a sufficient area permits, otherwise complete a different acknowledgment form.

· Signature of the notary public must match the signature on file with the office of

· Securely attach this document to the signed document

Additional information is not required but could help to ensure this

Indicate the capacity claimed by the signer. If the claimed capacity is a corporate officer, indicate the title (i.e. CEO, CFO, Secretary).

acknowledgment is not misused or attached to a different document. Indicate title or type of attached document, number of pages and date.

the county clerk.

☐ Partner(s)

☐ Trustee(s)

☐ Other ____

☐ Attorney-in-Fact

State of California

Dated: $3/20/12$	R. Roy Hal
, ,	Rivka Rosenthal
on the Del Der, 2012,	Rivka Rosenshal, a person known to me,
personally appeared before me and	acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.
	Notary Public
	Commission expires:
Approved as to form:	AGNES KOLBEN NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEW JERSEY MY COMMISSION ENPIRES JUNE 10, 2013
Robert N. Knuts Park & Jensen LLP 630 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017	
Attorney for Relief Defendant Rivk	a Rosenthal
Dated:	Efrat Rosenthal
On, 2012, personally appeared before me and	acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.
	Notary Public Commission expires:
Approved as to form:	1
Robert N. Knuts Park & Jensen LLP	
630 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017	

Attorney for Relief Defendant Efrat Rosenthal

Dated:		
		Rivka Rosenthal
On	, 2012,	, a person known to me,
personally appeared	pefore me and ackno	owledged executing the foregoing Consent.
		Notary Public Commission expires:
		Commission expires.
Approved as to form	:	
Robert N. Knuts		
Park & Jensen LLP		
630 Third Avenue	1.0	
New York, NY 100: Attorney for Relief I		centhal
Anomey for Rener I	referrant Nivka Nos	Schulai
Dated: 23.03.6	1013	
		Efrat Rosenthal
On Marci	n 23,2012, E	Grat Rosenthal, a person known to me,
personally appeared	before me and acknowledge	owledged executing the foregoing Consent.
t the state of the	AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	
Ti Ti di	;	Langathela
2012	2-03-23	Notary Public
		Commission expires:
Approved as to form	INGA SAPS	SERVE AND
Approved as to form	The Court of the C	WYSONO HE VERY BUILD OF LES CONTRODUCKS DONMENT AND
Partle	-	I CERTAIN TO OF TOUR PROPERTY IS A TOUR PROPERTY OF THE
WIRA		PUPTS THE PROPERTY HELD AND THE TALL AND THE AUTHORITICATE
Robert N. Knuts		CHARLES WAS NOT TAKE TO THE CHICAL IS BOOD MENT. AN AMENDMENT C.
Park & Jensen LLP 630 Third Avenue		an atrea
New York, NY 100	17	MAGNOMMER HANDTI CANASIGNALURE
Attorney for Relief I		enthal NAM IN CRIPS PURE W 6
		TAINE IN POINT CMS MONTH

Dated: 3/22/12	Non	Part
	Noga De	Ishad Rosenthal
On March 22 7., 2012		, a person known to me
personally appeared before me and	d acknowledged executing t	he foregoing Consent.
		// //
	Notary Public	
	Commission exp	ires: 01-16-2015
	r (/ r	JANE JIN
Approved as to form:		Notary Public, State of New York Qualified in Queens County
10/11/2	N	Reg. No. 01JI6158912 ly Commission Expires 01-1-2075
WINKE	•	,
Robert N. Knuts		
Park & Jensen LLP		
630 Third Avenue		

New York, NY 10017

Attorney for Relief Defendant Noga Delshad Rosenthal

EXHIBIT A

1

1		ATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	EMSTERN DI	ESTRECT OF HER FORK
3		X
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: 07 CR 069
5		:
6		
7	-against-	United States Courthouse
8	ZVI ROSENTHAL, et al.,	Brooklyn, New York :
9	Defendants.	February 8, 2007 : 11:00 o'clock a.m.
10	perendants.	
11		X
12	TDANSCE	DIDI OF DIFFERENCE
13	BEFORE THE H	RIPT OF PLEADING HONORABLE JOHN GLEESON
14	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15		
16	APPEARANCES:	
17		
18	For the Government:	ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF United States Attorney
19		BY: PAUL WEINSTEIN SEAN CASEY
20		Assistant United States Attorneys One Pierrepont Plaza
21		Brooklyn, New York
22	For the Defendants:	PETER J. DRISCOLL, ESQ.
23		Attorney for Zvi Rosenthal
24		PAUL SCHECHTMAN, ESQ.
25		GLEN KOPP, ESQ. Attorneys for Amir Rosenthal
		-
	GR OCR	CM CRR CSR

Page 1

GERALD LEFCOURT, ESQ. 1 RENATO STABILE, ESQ. Attorneys for David Heyman 2 3 NINA M. BEATTIE, ESQ. RACHEL DOFT, ESQ. Attorneys for Ayal Rosenthal 4 5 Gene Rudolph 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York (718) 613-2538 6 Court Reporter: 7 8 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription. 9 10 11 12 13 THE CLERK: United States versus Rosenthal, et al. Counsel, please state your appearances. 14 MR. CASEY: Sean Casey and Paul Weinstein for the 15 16 United States. 17 Good morning, Your Honor. MR. DRISCOLL: Peter Driscoll for Zvi Rosenthal. 18 19 Good morning. 20 THE COURT: Good morning. 21 MR. SCHECHTMAN: Paul Schechtman. With me is Glen 22 Kopp, for Amir Rosenthal. 23 THE COURT: Nice to see you. 24 MR. LEFCOURT: Gerald Lefcourt. With me is Renato Stabile for David Heyman.

> GR OCR CM CRR **CSR**

2

25

1	THE COURT: Nice to see you.
2	MS. BEATTIE: Nina Beattie. With me is Rachel Doft.
3	THE COURT: Good morning to both of you.
4	Your name is Nina Beattie?
5	MS. BEATTIE: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Is that the correct pronunciation?
7	MS. BEATTIE: Or Beattie.
8	THE COURT: Beattie. Okay.
9	I have changed my mind. Let's do one at a time. It
10	seems very crowded.
11	MR. SCHECHTMAN: If we do, I think it might be
12	easiest if we go first.
13	THE COURT: Fine with me.
14	MR. CASEY: Fine with the government.
15	THE COURT: Let's have you've Amir, correct?
16	MR. SCHECHTMAN: Correct, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Let's have Amir Rosenthal and his
18	counsel here with the prosecutors. If everyone else could
19	just take a seat at the table. That's a sensible way to
20	proceed.
-21	I read what you sent to me. I can't recall whether
22	it is one or more of the defendants had his case assigned to
23	me not at random but related, is that correct?
24	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
25	The first case was 069, with the three defendants.

GR OCR CM CRR CSR

Plea Allocution Excerpts Amir Rosenthal pleading

5

1	want that as well.		
2	THE COURT: Hang on to that for one second, please,		
3	Mr. Schechtman.		
4	Okay. Would you place Mr. Amir Rosenthal under		
5	oath, please, Ilene?		
6	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.		
7	Please raise your right hand.		
8	(The defendant duly sworn/affirmed by clerk.)		
9	THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell it for		
10	the record.		
11	THE DEFENDANT: Amir Rosenthal, A M I R,		
12	ROSENTHAL.		
13	THE COURT: How old are you?		
14	THE DEFENDANT: I just turned twenty-nine.		
15	THE COURT: How far have you gone in school?		
16	THE DEFENDANT: Graduated school.		
17	THE COURT: With what degree?		
18	THE DEFENDANT: JD.		
19	THE COURT: Have you been able to communicate		
20	effectively with your attorney?		
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.		
	Amir Rosenthal pleading 23		
1	THE COURT: Has anybody promised you what your		
2	sentence will be?		
3	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.		
4	THE COURT: Tell me, briefly, why you are guilty.		
5	MR. SCHECHTMAN: Judge, if it is acceptable to the		
6	Court, we have written out a statement that I believe does it		

Page 4

7 clearly.

8 THE COURT: Sure.

9 THE DEFENDANT: Judge Gleeson, the short of it is

10 that I traded in Taro securities on material, non-public

11 information that I received from my father, who was an

12 employee at Taro. I know my father was breaching his

13 fiduciary duty to Taro in giving me the information; that he

14 intended for me to profit on the information; and that I was

15 acting in violation of the securities law when I executed

16 options trades and profited on that information. Thus, for

17 example, in May 2001, I traded on inside information about the

18 FDA approval of Taro's CB Cream application. The approval was

19 not yet publicly announced when my father told me about it and

20 I traded. And in July 2004, I traded on inside information

21 about Taro's sales shortfall in the second quarter. The

22 shortfall was not yet publicly announced when my father told

23 me about it, and I traded. I also tipped others, including

24 David Heyman, about what I improperly knew, and he, in turn,

25 provided me with non-public information that he had learned

GR OCR CM CRR CSR

Amir Rosenthal pleading

22

1 from his job at Ernst and Young.

I know what I did was wrong and deeply regret my

3 actions.

4 THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

5 MR. CASEY: Nothing further.

6 THE COURT: What is the identity of this large

7 New York law firm in the paragraph four?

Page 5

8	THE DEFENDANT: Thacher Proffit and Wood.
9	THE COURT: Okay. I find that Mr. Amir Rosenthal is
10	acting knowingly and voluntarily, he understands the rights he
11	is waiving by pleading guilty, the consequences he faces if he
12	pleads guilty. I find there is a factual basis for his plea
13	so I accept the plea.
14	What's our date for sentence?
15	THE CLERK: May 18th, at 2:00 pm.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Is that date and time sufficient
17	for each side? For both sides?
18	MR. CASEY: Yes, Your Honor.
19	MR. SCHECHTMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
20	Judge, can we return briefly to bail?
21	THE COURT: It looks from what is before me that
	Zvi Rosenthal pleading 20
1	THE COURT: All right. Come on up, sir.
2	Okay. Good morning again, Mr. Driscoll.
3	MR. DRISCOLL: Good morning, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Is there a signed plea agreement?
5	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, there is.
6	THE COURT: Mark that as number two, please.
7	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Please swear the defendant.
9	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
10	(The defendant duly sworn/affirmed by clerk.)
11	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name.
12	THE DEFENDANT: Zvi Rosenthal, Z V I,
13	ROSENTHAL.
14	THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Sixty-two.

	Plea Allocution Excerpts
16	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
1,7	THE DEFENDANT: An MBA.
18	THE COURT: Have you been able to communicate
19	effectively with Mr. Driscoll?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Are you satisfied so far with the
22	representations he's given to you?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
	Zvi Rosenthal pleading 42
1	THE COURT: Is this package deal in which other
2	people's ability to plead guilty may in their view be
3	conditioned on your entering a plea of guilty as well, any of
4	your codefendants pressuring you to plead guilty?
5	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
6	THE COURT: Any promises made to you other than
7	those in the written plea agreement to get you to plead
8	guilty?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10	THE COURT: Tell me, briefly, why you are guilty.
11	Do you need a break?
12	MR. DRISCOLL: Are you okay?
13	не'll be all right.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Can I read it?
15	MR. DRISCOLL: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I was vice president
18	of
19	THE COURT: Excuse me.
20	Do you have a copy of that?
21	MR. DRISCOLL: Yes. Page 7

- 22 I am going to surrender the one copy to Mr. Rudolph
- 23 when we are done. I apologize.
- 24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Read slowly. Everybody reads
- 25 fast once they start reading. Read slowly.

GR OCR CM CRR CSR

Zvi Rosenthal pleading

43

- 1 THE DEFENDANT: I was Vice President of Materials
- 2 Management and Logistics for Taro Pharmaceuticals. Between
- 3 2001 and 2005, I disclosed material, non-public information
- 4 concerning Taro to Amir Rosenthal, my son, knowing that with
- 5 this information he may trade in Taro securities.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything further?
- 7 MR. DRISCOLL: Your Honor, we also acknowledge Overt
- 8 Act A. I believe Mr. Weinstein is correct, that we should do
- 9 that in terms of the conspiracy. We confirm that particular
- 10 act.
- 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that's 2004.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. You provided your son Amir with
- 13 material, non-public information about the sales results of
- 14 Taro in the second quarter of 2004, is that correct?
- 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay.
- 17 MR. DRISCOLL: Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: Anything further?
- 19 MR. DRISCOLL: No.
- 20 MR. WEINSTEIN: No, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: I find that Mr. Rosenthal is acting
- 22 knowingly and voluntarily. He understands his rights and he Page 8

- 23 understands the consequences that could flow from pleading
- 24 guilty. I find there is a factual basis for his plea of
- 25 guilty. So I accept the plea.

GR OCR CM CRR CSR

Ayal Rosenthal pleading

65

1	THE COURT: Okay. Welcome back.
2	Ms. Beattie, I understand your client wants to waive
3	indictment and plead guilty? Correct?
4	MS. BEATTIE: That is correct, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. Ilene, would you please
6	swear the defendant?
7	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
8	Please raise your right hand.
9	(The defendant duly sworn/affirmed by clerk.)
10	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name.
11	THE DEFENDANT: Ayal Ross, A Y A L,
12	ROSENTHAL.
13	THE COURT: How old are you?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Twenty-six.
15	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I just finished my MBA.
17	THE COURT: Have you been able to communicate
18	effectively with your lawyers?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Are you satisfied so far with the
21	representation they have provided to you?
22	THE DEFENDANT. VAS

Page 9

ayal	Rosenthal	pleading	83

1	THE COURT: How do you plead to this charge, sir,
2	guilty or not guilty?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
4	THE COURT: Is anybody pressuring you to get you to
5	plead guilty?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Are you making this plea voluntarily and
8	of your own free will after consulting with your lawyer about
9	your options.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Apart from the promises made to you in
12	writing in this agreement, and these other understandings that
13	have been expressed here today, are there any promises or
14	representations that I don't know about that have been made to
15	you to get you to plead guilty?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Has anybody promised you what your
18	sentence will be?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Tell me briefly why you are guilty.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, do you mind if I read?
22	THE COURT: I don't.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor
24	THE COURT: Just read slowly.
25	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, in or about May 2005, in

GR OCR CM CRR CSR

Plea Allocution Excerpts Ayal Rosenthal pleading

84

a discussion about my work, I agreed to tell my brother Amir 1 Rosenthal the names of two companies that were involved in a 2 confidential acquisition that I was working on. I knew that 3 my brother Amir was an active trader of securities. I 4 consciously turned a blind eye to what would have otherwise 5 been obvious to me; that my brother was going to trade, in 6 7 violation of United States securities laws on information that I provided to him. 8 9 I accept responsibility for my actions and am very sorry for what I did. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Is that sufficient in the 12 government's view? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Can you join a conspiracy, can you 14 15 consciously avoid --MS. BEATTIE: You can, Your Honor. There is a 16 17 Second Circuit case on point. If you --18 THE COURT: Sboboda? 19 MS. BEATTIE: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: This is a great book.

MS. BEATTIE: That was quick. I am impressed.

21